G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-3
191
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 96

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning the grant of lands in the Uddu village, Hassan Taluk as Inam to the Sri Chitaleswara Parameswami Temple at Hassan in lieu of the former endowment resumed to Govt during the Inam Settlement

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES

Memo.

The Temple of Chidambaresvaraswami at Hassan formerly had land inams to the value of Rs 8 11. 8 which were resumed at the Inam Settlement in 1880, owing to the then abandoned condition of the Temple. In 1891, one Nádig Channakesaviah had the Temple restored at his own cost and applied for the restoration of the Inam to the Temple. But in the meanwhile, the lands had been given away on Darkhást to a private individual for cultivation on Kandayan tenure; and as the person in possession of the lands objected to give up the lands to the Temple

the Government passed an order No 1219-21 / mutF96 92 dated 16th May 1894 sanctioning the grant to the Temple of other equivalent inam lands to the value of Rs 8 11 8 a year and accordingly assigning for the purpose certain un-assessed waste lands in the village to the extent of 7 acres 31 guntas, in compliance with the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner.

2. The Deputy Commissioner now reports that he had the lands (newly assigned to the Temple) assessed by the Revenue Survey Department and that the assessment thus fixed has fallen short of the value of the inam

by

3 by Rs 1.5.8 as detailed below:-

Value of the original inam . . Rs 8.11.8.

Assessment on the lands newly assigned as Inam to the Temple.	Survey No. 10- 3 A. 34 G.	}	@ 1 rupee per acre	Rs 5-0-0
	Village Site 1- 7			
	Survey No. 6 (part) 2-30		@ 14 annas per acre	Rs 2-6-0
				Rs 7-6-0

Difference Rs 1. 5 8-

3 To make good this deficiency the Deputy Commissioner now recommends that the Temple may be granted an additional Inam to the extent of 1 acre 20 guntas out of the said Survey No. 6, which is a Gomal land measuring 8 acres 7 guntas.

4. A printed copy of the last Government Order No. 1219-21 / Inam-96-92 dated 16th May 1894 is herewith submitted for reference.

Asst Commr By

2-6-97

~~There is no Comparison~~
It is not correct to Compare the Survey Valuation of ~~by the Survey with~~ Rs. 7.6.0 with the previous old assessment of Rs 8.11.8. The land ~~granted~~ newly assigned is a very fair Equivalent of the resumed Inam & no addl. assignment is accordingly necessary

24/7/96 - by

File No. of 190

Note continued :-

The late Sir Sheshadri Iyer's orders recorded on page 3 of this note were issued in Govt. Pro:. No:. 189 dy 30th July 1896.

The Dy Commr: of the Hassan District reports that prior to the ~~giving over the~~ sanctioned lands ~~were given over~~ to the possession of the temple, Sur:. No. 10 was taken up for the use of a burial ground and that consequently, the remaining Sur:. No. 6 pt & the village site were not suitable for being treated as Inam. He states that 2 acres out of Sur:. No. 58 of Addur village was phoded out and given instead of the originally sanctioned lands. The assessment on the 2 acres of wet lands now given is stated to be less by 11 annas & eight pies than the

original Devadaya grant. He requests
sanction to the new grant of 2 acres.
Submitted with a draft order
27/5/06 RS
6/6/06

Consult the Revenue
Commissioner in the first
instance about the proposed
grant of new lands
~~Send him the file~~
~~for reply and return~~
6/6/06

Note cont'd :—

As directed above, the Revenue
commr who was consulted, states
that the wet land in question may
be granted as inam to the temple
as recommended by the D/ commr.
Submitted — the original draft is a bit modified
27/6/06.
RS
26/6/06

Submitted with a draft
to the Dewan
through the 2nd Mr.

Y Ananta Sama Rao
27/6/06

Rev No. 11532 d/ 20-6-06

MGCPB—2,500—7-8-05

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

may be approved

28/6/06

Draft appd by the Dy

5/7/06

3/8/06

3/8

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

(General Serial No. 30.) 121

1892.

From

The Commissioner
Hassan Dt Circt.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore
Inwardas ~~Department~~

Dated 30th
Despatched 30th } November 1892.
Received

Enclosures No. one file of VP

General No. 618.
No.

In reply to

SUBJECT.

under the circumstances represented requests to obtain Government sanction to cancel the Patta which has been fraudulently made out in the name of one Kondaja to the prejudice of the Temple of Chittambereswara swamy at Hassan & to restore the same as Inam to the Temple referred to as before &c.

Equivalent
Eligible (Land)
The assignee
30/11/93

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

1/12/92

Received & V Patro
Secretary to Govt

To the same No 895-6
In F. 95-92 9/4/93

618
Send file of V.P.

From

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District
Dated 30th November
1892

P. V. Purnaiya Esqre.
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To,

The Secretary
to the Durbar of Mysore
Mungrauji Branch

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that a piece of Wet land S. No. 116, situated below the tank of Attur Tank, attached to Hassan Kasba, measuring A—G 1—11 and assessed at Rs 6—8—0 was being enjoyed as Jodi Inam by Chikambareswara-Swamy at Hassan; but during the Settlement of Inams in 1880, the Inam was resumed to Government on account of the disrepair of Ashtabandhanam and displacement of the deity. Subsequently in the same year, one Lowdaiya applied for the said land and

relinquished the Service. He is still held by him.

2. Having undertaken many of the Temple needed repair and re-establishment the Swamy with his own resources fulfilling all the conditions of Agama Sastra in the last year, and the Pooja is resumed. He prays therefore for the restoration of the Inam to the Institution.

3. Though during the present holder refuses to surrender the Inam to the Temple, on the ground that he has obtained it formally from Government after it was resumed; that he has improved the land by laying out nearly 2000 Rs, and that he is not willing to part with it though he may be reimbursed the true cost of improvement which seems to be nothing,

but that he would pay the
——— ——— Kandayam to the
temple, if so directed. No other
land is now available to be
given in Exchange. The land
land preferred to was resumed
in the Settlement in the month
of March and it was given
over to the applicant Chowdaiya
in the month of October 1880.
Thus he has been enjoying it
for the last 12 years.

4. But on referring to
the record connected with the
Darkhast, I observe that the
Archick Thaimmanna Bhutta
was Examined and asked if
he was willing to have the Patta
made out in his name on
condition of his paying the
Kandayam - and performing
the Pooja but he has replied in
the negative, adding that in case
the Government will not grant

Nirni Putta without the stipulation of Pooja ——— the same might be disposed of as Government pleased. The Applicant Chowdaiya was then asked whether he would abide by the above condition and he likewise deposed that he would not consent to the above arrangement. Thereupon instead of taking further steps to ascertain and find out whether there were any Devotees or other persons who were interested in the temple God that would come forward to take up the land on condition of conducting the Pooja and paying the Government assessment, the Taluk Authorities have unconditionally issued the Sagavali chit and made the Putta in Applicant's name.

From this it is apparently ~~Prima facie~~ evident that this transaction suggests collusion and fraud on the part of the Poojari and the applicant on the one hand and the Taluk officials on the other, in as much as the latter have failed to find out persons who could perform the Pooja and pay the Kandayam as well; or to give the land on condition of surrendering it, in case any other person might eventually come forward to apply for the land on the aforesaid terms.

5. The Taluk Commissioner's Proceedings No. 5703-10 / Rev. 1013 dated 7th January 1881 has laid down that "Puttas for Inam lands of Temples presumed should be issued in the names of the recognized managers of

the Collectorate Office as Surveyed
as before.

The Circular papers
enclosing with Assessment Table
& Field Book Report No. 22 dated
13th September last are
herewith submitted for
further reference and return.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obt Servt

D. Muirhead,
for Deputy Commissioner
Hazara District

MUZARAI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892-3

From

To D. C. Hassan &
Inam Supte

Dated 3rd June 93

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file VR.

General No. 895-6
Muzarai F. No. 96-92

Stating that one Chowdiah has been in possession of the lands for upwards of 12 years and stating that the Deputy Commissioner should submit separate proposals for the assignment of an equal eligible land to the Chetambareswaraswamy temple at Hassan.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—26-9-93-1,000.

From the Same No 592 of 92/93

Muzrai.

Read:—

Letter No. 618 dated 13th November 1892 from the Dy Commr. of the Hassan District.

No. 895-6 Dt 3/4/6/93 Order thereon No.
Muz. 7. 96-92
in file

The above letter of the Dy Commr. brings to notice that the Jodi Inam land Survey No. 116 situated below the Channapatna tank in the Hassan Kasba measuring 1 acre and 11 guntas and assessed at Rs 6-8-- and attached to the Chitambareswara Swami Temple at Hassan was resumed during the Inam Settlement in 1880

P2

on account of disrepair of Ashtabandhana and dis-placement of the deity and that subsequently one Chowdiah applied for and obtained the land on Puttah in collusion with the Pujari and the Taluk Officials without any stipulation as to the keeping up of Puja in the Temple. The Dy Commr. therefore considers that fraud has been practised in this case and solicits sanction to the cancellation of the Puttah which has thus been fraudulently made out in the name of Chowdiah and to the restoration of the land as Inam to the Temple as the necessary repairs to it have been executed and the Puja renewed.

Dft. for apl.

2. Govt. observe that Chowdiah having been in possession of the land for upwards of 12 years, he cannot be summarily ousted in the manner suggested by the Dy Commr. An equivalent eligible land should therefore be assigned to the Temple as Inam for which separate proposals should be submitted by the Dy Commr.

Secretary.

To. The Dy Comm. Hassan District
returning the Vern: Papers
" Superintendent, Revenue
Survey and Inam Settlement.

(General Serial No. 50.)

1894

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
38
MUZRAI BRANCH

From

The Deputy Commissioner

To Hassan Dest.

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore
Muzarai Department.

Dated 26th
Despatched } February 1894.
Received 28.2.94

Enclosures No. one and one file of Vernacular papers

General No. 592
No. See

In reply to No. 895-6 dated 3 June/93
96-92

SUBJECT

Proposing that certain lands may be applied as Inam to the Temple of Chitrambreswaraswami at Hassan, and submitting for sanction a statement in the prescribed form.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the same 1219-21 of 96-97

16/5/94

Deputy Commissioner's office
Hassan District

No 592 on VP file
Copy

Dated Hassan 26 February/94.

From

P. N Purnaiyya Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

The Secretary to the Govern-ment of Mysore Muzrai Department.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No: 895-6 / Mus: F 96-92 Dated 3rd June 1893 requesting me to Submit Separate proposals for assigning eligible lands as Inam to the Temple of Chithambareshawaraswami at Hassan, equivalent to that formerly attached to it, I have the honor to propose that the —

Marginally

Beekuru village:-
Survey No: 10. 4 " 28"
Old village site 1 7
Gomal Survey no: 6 8 7

3 - 34
1 - 7
2 - 30
7 - 31

" - 34 "
autofoname

marginally noted lands may be

assigned as Inam to the said Temple.

2- These lands have been inspected by me, and they are considered to be well suited for the purpose.

3. The extent of the lands now proposed though somewhat larger than the former bizvani yet the assessment will be the same as former beriz viz Rs 8-11-8. (Vide extract from the Quitrent Register filed with the Vernacular record herein enclosed). The lands are all unassessed waste and they will be assessed in Communication with the Survey Superintendent as soon after the receipt of Government sanction for Granting them.

4. A Gowal Statement in the prescribed

prescribed form 22 — with the Vernacular papers is herewith submitted.-

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

M. Ruscoe

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

25

Murrai.

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No. 592 dated 26th February 1894 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District

---

Order thereon No.

From the records submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, it is observed that wet land Maji No. 74 extent K. P. 0-13-12 assessment Rs 8. 11. 8, of Hassan Kasaba was Devadaya Inam granted to Chilambaresuara Swami at Hassan. During the Inam Settlement in 1880 the land was resumed to Government as the Idol was displaced. In the same year one Chowdiah applied for and obtained it for Kandayam. In 1882-83 when the Survey Settlement took place the land - now Survey

No 116 extent 1a one and 11 guntas assessment Rs 6 8-- was confirmed in Chowdiah's name.

In 1891 Nadig Chennakesavaiah ~~set the Deity~~ right and had the services renewed at his own cost, and claimed the Inam land for the temple. Enquiry shewed that the present occupant, who refuses to part with the resumed land had obtained it through fraud and collusion and the Deputy Commissioner recommended cancelment of Patta. But having regard to Chowdiah's long possession, Government in Pro: No. 895 6 dated 3rd June 1893 directed the Deputy Commissioner to submit

separate proposals for equi-
-valent eligible land being
assigned to the temple as
Inam. The Deputy Commis
-sioner now proposes that the
unassessed dry lands des
-cribed below may be so
assigned :-

Village.	Sur: No.	Net extent A- G
Hullenhalli	10	3. 34
— do —	6 pt.	2. 36
— do —	village site	1. 7
	Total	7. 31

Hullenhalli is a Béchirakh
village. Survey No. 10 appears
to have been set-apart for a
Tope. But it is reported
that there is not a single
tree on the land. An extent
of 34 guntas has been de-
-ducted as Kharab on account
of the way &c existing on
the land, and the rest of it

is proposed to be assigned.
survey No 6 as Gomal land.
The villagers concerned have
no objection to a portion of
this land and to the aban-
doned village site being
granted as Inam. The Deputy
Commissioner recommends the
grant of lands equal in
assessment to the Major Peiris
of Rs 8 11-8 fixed upon the
wet land resumed and has
selected unassessed dry lands
to the extent required. These
lands have to be brought
under the plough now.

2 Under the cir-
-cumstances stated above
the assignment of 7 acres —
and 31 guntas of the lands
in question to the temple of
Chidambareswaraswamy as
Inam is sanctioned.



Survey

Survey No 6 appears to have been already sub-divided, and the Kharab portion of Survey No 10 separated. The existing right of way in Survey No 10 must not be interfered with. The village site may be formed into a separate Survey No. and the assessment on al these lands fixed in com-munication with the Superintendent of Revenue Survey. Any excess after deducting Rs 8-11-8 from the total assessment im-posed should be collected as Jodi, ~~in addition to~~

Amount- Rs. 8-11-8
Jodi.

the account of local Cess -- to that used to be levied before the Inam was resumed. The necessary entries in the village accounts should be made and a final report submitted for the information of Government.

Secretary

To
The Dy Commr Hassan Distt
returning the Vern: Records
Superintt of Rev: Survey
in Mysore
of Inam Settlements.

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore.

Mussai

Read :—

Correspondence ending with letter No 592 dated 26" February 1894, from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District

—"—

Order thereon No 1219-21 Mus 96-97
Dated Bangalore 16" May 1894

From the records submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, it is observed that wet land Maji No 74, Extent 0-13-2 K.P., assessment Rs 8-11-8 of Hassan Kasba was Devadaya Inam granted Chitambar-eswaraswami at Hassan. During the Inam Settlement in 1880, the land was resumed to Government as the Idol was displaced. In the same year one Chowdiah applied for and obtained it for Kandayam. In 1882-83, when the Survey Settlement took place, the

land now Survey No 116 extent 1 acre and 11 Guntas, assessment Rs 6·8·a was confirmed in Chowdiah's name. In 1871, Nadig Chennakesaviah re-established the Idol and had the services renewed at his own cost, and claimed the Inam land for the temple. Enquiry showed that the present occupant who refuses to part with the resumed land has obtained it through fraud and collusion and the Deputy Commissioner recommended Cancelment of Patta. But having regard to Chowdiah's long possession, Government in Pro No 895.6 dated 3rd June 1893, directed the Deputy Commissioner, to submit proposals for other equivalent eligible land being assigned to the temple as Inam. The Deputy Commissioner now proposes that the unassessed dry lands described below may be so assigned:—

Village—

Village.	Survey No.	Net Extent. A Cs
Aullenhalli.	10	3. 34
---do—	6 pt.	2. 30.
---do—	Village site	1. 7
		Total 7 31

Aullenhalli is a Bechirakh village. Survey No. 10 appears to have been set apart for a Tope. But it is reported that there is not a single tree on the land. An extent of 34 guntas has been deducted as kharab on account of the way &c existing on the land, and the rest of it is proposed to be assigned. Survey No. 6 is Gomal land. The villagers concerned have no objection to a portion of this land and to the abandoned village site being granted as Inam. The Deputy Commissioner recommends the grant of lands equal in assessment to the Maji Beriz of Rs 8.11.8 fixed upon the wet land resumed and has selected

unassessed dry lands to the extent required.

2. Under the circumstances, the Government are pleased to sanction the grant to the temple of Chidambareswara Swamy of the proposed land Inam of Rs 8-11-8 per annum. If the assessment of the lands proposed as Inam is greater than this amount, the difference should of course be charged as jodi. The necessary entries in the village accounts should be made and a final report submitted for the information of Government.

Dft. A S

Secretary.

To

The Dy Comr. Hassan Distt
returning the Vern: Records
" Superintendent of Rev: Survey in Mysore
of Inam Settlements.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಇಒ/ಜಿಪಂ/ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್/ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ/2022-23/

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 26.9.2022

ಸೇವೆಗೆ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು, ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ತಾಲೂಕಿನ ಹಿರೇಸಿಂದೋಗಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ 15-8-2022 ರಂದು ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯೋತ್ಸವ ಆಚರಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಮುಂಭಾಗ ಹಾಕಲಾಗಿದ್ದ ಶಾಮಿಯಾನ ಮತ್ತು ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳ್ಳತನ ಮಾಡಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ಕುರಿತು.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಹಿರೇಸಿಂದೋಗಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯತಿ ಪಿಡಿಓ ಅವರ ಪತ್ರ ದಿನಾಂಕ: 18-11-2022

ಮೇಲಿನ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ತಿಳಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ಹಿರೇಸಿಂದೋಗಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯತಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಮುಂಭಾಗ ಹಾಕಲಾಗಿದ್ದ ಶಾಮಿಯಾನ ಮತ್ತು ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳ್ಳತನ ಮಾಡಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಕೋರಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿತ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಶಾಮಿಯಾನ ಮತ್ತು ಧ್ವನಿವರ್ಧಕ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಿಂದ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ಪಡೆದಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಸದರಿ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳ ಒಟ್ಟು ಮೌಲ್ಯ ರೂ.1,68,000/- ಆಗಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳ್ಳತನ ಮಾಡಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊ.ಸಂ.128-2022 ಕಲಂ 379 ಐಪಿಸಿ ರೀತ್ಯಾ ಪ್ರಕರಣ ದಾಖಲಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳ್ಳತನವಾದ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪತ್ತೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳ್ಳತನವಾದ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪತ್ತೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳ್ಳತನವಾದ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪತ್ತೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ

ಪ್ರತಿ:

1. ಪಿಡಿಓ, ಹಿರೇಸಿಂದೋಗಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯತಿ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ತಾಲೂಕು.
2. ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಇನ್ಸ್‌ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆ, ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್/ಕಾ.ನಿ.ಇ-೧/ಎಸ್.ಇ-೨/ಎಇಇ-೧/ಎಫ್-೧೦೨/೨೦೨೧-೨೨/೧೦೫೭೭

ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಕಾ.ನಿ.ಇ)
ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್, ಕಲಬುರಗಿ

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೮.೦೮.೨೦೨೧

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಹೊಂದಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಕಾ.ನಿ.ಇ) ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್, ಕಲಬುರಗಿ ಇವರ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್/ಕಾ.ನಿ.ಇ-೧/ಎಸ್.ಇ-೨/ಎಇಇ-೧/ಎಫ್-೧೦೨/೨೦೨೧-೨೨/೧೦೫೭೭ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೮.೦೮.೨೦೨೧

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿತ ಜ್ಞಾಪನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಕಾ.ನಿ.ಇ) ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್, ಕಲಬುರಗಿ ಇವರು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಸಿವಿಲ್) ಆಗಿ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಜೀವ ತಂದೆ ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಕಾಂಬಳೆ ಇವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಜೀವ ತಂದೆ ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಕಾಂಬಳೆ ಇವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿತ ಜ್ಞಾಪನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಕಾ.ನಿ.ಇ) ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್, ಕಲಬುರಗಿ ಇವರು ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಜೀವ ತಂದೆ ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಕಾಂಬಳೆ ಇವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್/ಕಾ.ನಿ.ಇ-೧/ಎಸ್.ಇ-೨/ಎಇಇ-೧/ಎಫ್-೧೦೨/೨೦೨೧-೨೨/೧೦೫೭೭ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೮.೦೮.೨೦೨೧ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರುವ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್/ಕಾ.ನಿ.ಇ-೧/ಎಸ್.ಇ-೨/ಎಇಇ-೧/ಎಫ್-೧೦೨/೨೦೨೧-೨೨/೧೦೫೭೭ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೮.೦೮.೨೦೨೧ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರುವ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಹಿಂಪಡೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಜೀವ ತಂದೆ ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಕಾಂಬಳೆ ಇವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿತ ಜ್ಞಾಪನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಕಾ.ನಿ.ಇ) ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್, ಕಲಬುರಗಿ ಇವರು ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಜೀವ ತಂದೆ ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಕಾಂಬಳೆ ಇವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್/ಕಾ.ನಿ.ಇ-೧/ಎಸ್.ಇ-೨/ಎಇಇ-೧/ಎಫ್-೧೦೨/೨೦೨೧-೨೨/೧೦೫೭೭ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೮.೦೮.೨೦೨೧ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರುವ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಹಿಂಪಡೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಹಿ/-
ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಕಾ.ನಿ.ಇ)
ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್, ಕಲಬುರಗಿ

ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು:
೧) ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಜೀವ ತಂದೆ ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಕಾಂಬಳೆ, ಸಹಾಯಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಸಿವಿಲ್), ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್, ಕಲಬುರಗಿ
೨) ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಕಾ.ನಿ.ಇ) ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್, ಕಲಬುರಗಿ ಇವರ ಕಛೇರಿ ಪ್ರತಿ
೩) ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಎಸ್.ಇ-೨) ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್, ಕಲಬುರಗಿ ಇವರ ಕಛೇರಿ ಪ್ರತಿ
೪) ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಎಇಇ-೧) ಕೆಪಿಟಿಸಿಎಲ್, ಕಲಬುರಗಿ ಇವರ ಕಛೇರಿ ಪ್ರತಿ
೫) ಕಡತ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಎಫ್-೧೦೨/೨೦೨೧-೨೨

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಕಾರವಾರ

ಕಾರವಾರ ತಾಲೂಕಿನ ಕೆಲವು ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಭೂಸ್ವಾಧೀನಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ 22-11-96 ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 11 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸಭೆ ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿರಲು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚ

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಕಸ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನಿಸಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಜಗಳ

Read:-

Govt. Proc No. 1219-21
Mws-7696

dated 16th May 1894, sanctioning the grant of certain waste lands in Hullenahalli village, Hassan Taluk, as Inam to the Chitambareswara-swamy temple in Kasaba Hassan, in lieu of the former ~~Inam lands~~ resumed to Govt during the Inam settlement.

And -

Roobkari No 731 dated 29th December 1895, from the Deputy Commr, Hassan Distt, reporting that on getting the lands newly assigned

as Inam to the temple.
under the above --
order of Govt, assessed
by the Revenue Survey
Department, the total
assessment fixed thereon
has fallen short of the
value of the original
Inam of Rs 8-11-8; and by Rs 1-5-8
recommending therefore
that this deficiency in
the value of the Inam
may be made good by a further
grant of additional
waste lands to the
extent of 1 acre and
20 guntas as Inam
to the temple --

Order thereon

The

No. 189 of 301
Inam 96-92
Inam 16

40 ~~The Govt observe~~

The Govt observe that it is not correct to compare the Survey valuation of Rs 7-6-0 with the previous old assessment of Rs 8-11-8 The extent of lands ~ already assigned to the temple under the Govt Pro read above, is a very fair equivalent of the ~ resumed Inam, and no additional assignment of land will therefore be sanctioned

~~Mun: Secy~~
Asst

To The Deputy Comm^r
Hassan Distt returning
the Vernacular Babo[illegible]

H.C.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
5084
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Rupees
Re
28/5/06

Above note entd & submd with a draft
24 5/6/06

1905-06

From
S. Amir Hassan Esq
Asst Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To
L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A.
Secy to the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Inamsai Dept, Bangalore

Dated 23rd } May 1906
Despatched 26th }

Received

General No. 1000
No. 5

Enclosure No. V file

Requesting under the circumstances herein explained that sanction may be accorded to the grant of two acres of land in the Uddoor village to the Chitamba Iswara Swamy temple in cancellation of Government order No 1219-21 / M 796-92 dated 16th May 1894.

Deputy Commissioner's office 42
Hassan District
Dated 23rd May 1906

From

S. Amir Hassan Esq
In Ch Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Inspl Department
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honour to state that
wet land Inaji No 74 assessed
at Rs 8-11-8 of Hassan Kasaba was
Devadaya Inam granted to
Kidambee Narasimmi at
Hassan. During the Inam
settlement in 1880 the land

was

was resumed to Government as the idol was displaced. In 1891 Nadig Channakesavaiya claimed the Inam land for the temple on the representation that the idol had been replaced and that the services were being renewed at his own cost. The Government accordingly sanctioned in Government Proceedings No 1219-21—Inam 96-92 dated 16th May 1894 the grant of the marginally noted lands as Inam to the temple.

Village	Survey No	Area
Hullahalli	10	3-34
Do	11	2-32
Do	Village site	1-7
	Total	7-31

2. Prior to the said lands being given over to the possession of the temple Survey No 10 was taken up for the use of a burial ground for Nadigas and Vakkaligas of Hassan town.

Hence the remaining lands viz S.No 6 and the village site were not suitable for being treated as Inam. So two acres out of S.No 58 of Uddur village with an extent of four acres and two guntas was resumed out and given instead of the above mentioned lands of the Hullenahalli village. The Amildar reports that the assessment of the two acres of wet land given out to the temple is eight rupees being less by one Hereen annas and eight pies than the original Devadaya grant to the temple.

3. Under the foregoing circumstances sanction may be accorded to the grant

grant of the two acres of land in Uddur village as Inam to the temple of Chidambaraswara-swami in cancellation of the grant made in Government Proceedings No 1219-21-Mmg. 96-92 dated 16th May 1894.

4. The vernacular papers relating with the Treasury Assistant Commissioner's Vadi No $\frac{548}{2016}$ dated 5th December 1902 are herein enclosed.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

[signature]

for The Deputy Commissioner
Harihar District

410

Mysore

To

The Revenue Comr

in mysore

No. 5782 D/12.6.06.
Encs 2 & 1 file

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the letter no 1000 dated the 26th May 1906 together with all its enclosures, received from the Dy Commissioner of the Hassan District, accts the Inam lands granted to the Sri Chidambaraswara-swami temple in the Hassan town, under the authority of the Govt Proc no 1219-21 dated May 4 96-97 the 16th May 1894, a printed copy of which is also

47

enclosed for ready reference -

I am to request the

favour of your opinion

in the matter of the grant

of 2 acres of wet lands in the Madura village

to the Temple, as recommended

by the Dy Comr, in lieu

of the lands granted

in the Govt order of

the 16th May 1894, referred

to above -

I have &c

Dpt-

7/6/06

Secretary

Emigration Dept

7/6/06

Rev. Commr. Form 3.

1906.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3517.

From

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR ESQ. B. A, B. L
Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Governmentof His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzroi Department.

Dated 20th
Despatched 22nd June 1906
Received
No 11532

Enclosure No. 1 file 22

Stating that two acres of wet land in the Uddue village, Hassan Taluk, may be granted as Inam to the Sri Chitambaraswami temple of the Hassan Town.

No. 11532 Office of the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

Dated Bangalore, 20th June 1906

From

A. Rangaswamy Iyengar Esq. B.A. B.L.

Revenue Commissionerin Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzroi Department.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 5782 dated 12th June 1906 regarding the grant as Inam of two acres of wet land in the Uddur village Hassan Taluk, to the Sri Chitambaraswami temple of the Hassan Town in lieu of lands granted to the institution by Government in their order No. 1219-21 Muz 96-92 dated16th May 1894, I have the honor to state that the wet land referred to above may be granted as Inam to the temple as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan.

2. Enclosures to your letter are returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Subbarao

For Revenue Commissioner

(Draft & approval).

Mysore.

Read again :—

Govt. Pro. no. 1219-21 / Mmx 796-92

dy 16th May 1894 sanctioning the grant of svr. nos 10, 6 (part-) & village site, measuring in all 7 A - 31 G in Hullenahalli in the village, Hassan taluk, as Inam to the Sri Chidambareswaraswamy temple in Kasba Hassan in lieu of the former endowment resumed by Govt. during the Inam Settlement.

And Read :—

Letter no. 1000 dy 23rd May 1906 from the Dy Commr, Hassan District, reporting that prior to the Lands sanctioned in the Govt. order read above being given over to the possession

of the temple, Sur: No. 10
was taken up for the use
of a burial ground &
that the remaining lands
viz Sur: No 6 pt & the village
site were not suitable
for being treated as Inam
and therefore recommending
that two acres out of
Sur: No 58 of Uddur village
may be granted instead.

Also:— Letter No. 11532 dy 20th June 1906, from the Revenue Commr. in Mysore, stating that the next land referred to may be granted as inam to the temple

Order thereon:— V & granted as inam to temple in 208 dy 12-7-06
Encl 1 file

Under the circumstances
reported, the grant of
the inam lands sanctioned
in the Govt. order of the 16th
May 1894 read above
is cancelled // As recommended by the Revenue Commr. in Mysore, by the Dy Commr. ^ the Govt.

are pleased to accede to the request instead, the grant to the temple of two acres of waste land which has been phodee out of Sur: No 58 of Uddur village, and the assessment of which is Rs 8/- ~~being less by Rs 0-11-8 than the original Devadaya grant~~ // The necessary entries as now modified should be made in the village accounts and a final report submitted for the information of Govt.

Seen & appd by the Dewan
5/7/06

To
The Dy Comm: Hassan Dist
Dept Records.
~~Superintendent~~ Survey & S.R. Comm:
Superint of Inam Settlt
Rev: Commr: in Mysore
Secy to Govt: Genl & Rev Depts

Secy: Mucy Dept
6/6/06